

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/671,574	09/29/2003	Koji Yamada	57454-980	9405	
7590 02/03/2005 MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street N.W.			EXAMINER		
			LE, DANG D		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/671,5	574	YAMADA, KOJI					
		Examine		Art Unit					
		Dang D I	_e	2834					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period fo	• •		TO EVELDE - MONTE	(0) ===0.14					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- tire to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. 0) days, a reply within the sta statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be stutory minimum of thirty (30) will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr plication to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this commun  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 02 December :	2004.		•				
2a)□									
3)									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the a	pplication.	•		•				
٠,٣	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
· ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
		e Examiner							
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
117,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority i	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	~	for foreign priority w	nder 35 I I S.C. & 119	(a)-(d) or (f)					
· ·	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
۵,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority			ation No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies		• •	·	ıe				
	application from the Internatio	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office actio	,	` ''	ived.					
				•					
Attachmen	• •		40 T 1242 224 2	(DTO 440)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail						
3) X Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Property No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/03.			al Patent Application (PTO-152)					
- 4					•				

Application/Control Number: 10/671,574 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 14-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 12/2/04.
- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-13 in the reply filed on 12/2/04 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekiguchi et al. (6,464,472) in view of Chen et al. (4,841,184).

Regarding claim 1, Sekiguchi et al. shows a magnetic bearing device comprising:

- A rotary shaft (2-1) carrying a fan (2) rotating at a variable speed in a chamber (1) holding a variable gas pressure;
- A motor (8) rotating said rotary shaft;
- A magnetic bearing (4, 5) holding said rotary shaft; and
- A control circuit (not shown) for controlling the operation of the device.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,574

Art Unit: 2834

Sekiguchi et al. does not show a control circuit changing a parameter in feedback control performed for holding said rotary shaft in a position allowing stable rotation of said fan in accordance with a load applied to said magnetic bearing.

Chen et al. shows a control circuit (Figures 1-7) changing a parameter (current) in feedback control performed for holding said rotary shaft in a position allowing stable rotation of the shaft in accordance with a load (incidental thrust loads) applied to said magnetic bearing for the purpose of reducing vibration.

Since Sekiguchi et al. and Chen et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make a control circuit that can change a parameter in feedback control performed for holding the rotary shaft in a position allowing stable rotation of the fan in accordance with a load applied to the magnetic bearing as taught by Chen et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claims 2, 4, 6, and 9-12 it is noted that Sekiguchi et al. and Chen et al. also shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekiguchi et al. in view of Chen et al. and further in view of Heshmat et al. (6,770,993).

Regarding claim 3, the magnetic bearing device of Sekiguchi et al. modified

Chen et al. includes all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the use of a low pass filter.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,574

Art Unit: 2834

Heshmat et al. uses a control circuit (Figure 12) with a low pass filter (728) for the purpose of controlling the stiffness of the magnetic bearing.

Since Sekiguchi et al., Chen et al., and Heshmat et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize a low pass filter as taught by Heshmat et al. for the purpose discussed above.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekiguchi et al. in view of Chen et al. and further in view of Takahashi et al. (6,111,333).

Regarding claim 5, the magnetic bearing device of Sekiguchi et al. modified by Chen et al. includes all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the control circuit determining the load based on an output of a motor drive device driving the motor, and changing the parameter in accordance with the determined load.

Takahashi et al. uses the output of the motor drive device (52 to 51) the purpose of controlling the magnetic bearing.

Since Sekiguchi et al., Chen et al., and Takahashi et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to determine the load based on an output of a motor drive

Application/Control Number: 10/671,574

Art Unit: 2834

device driving the motor, and changing the parameter in accordance with the determined load as taught by Takahashi et al. for the purpose discussed above.

7. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekiguchi et al. in view of Chen et al. and further in view of Lewis et al. (5,347,190).

Regarding claims 7 and 8, the magnetic bearing device of Sekiguchi et al. modified by Chen et al. includes all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the convolution calculation.

Lewis et al. shows the use of convolution calculation and Fast Fourier Transform for the purpose of obtaining frequency spectrum quickly.

Since Sekiguchi et al., Chen et al., and Lewis et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use of convolution calculation and Fast Fourier Transform as taught by Lewis et al. for the purpose discussed above.

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekiguchi et al. in view of Chen et al. and further in view of Suzuki et al. (6,809,448).

Regarding claim 13, the magnetic bearing device of Sekiguchi et al. modified by Chen et al. includes all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the excimer laser device.

Suzuki et al. shows the excimer laser device for the purpose of making a laser apparatus.

Art Unit: 2834

Since Sekiguchi et al., Chen et al., and Suzuki et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include a magnetic bearing in a laser apparatus as taught by Suzuki et al. for the purpose discussed above.

### Information on How to Contact USPTO

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2027. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Somedi. C

DANG LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/31/05